OCTOBER 2026

CALL/WHATSAPP - 8999069628

1. Fundamental Rights: Freedom of Assembly, Dissent, and Preventive Detention

- Constitutional Framework for Freedom of Assembly:
    - Article 19(1)(b): Guarantees all citizens the fundamental right to assemble peaceably and without arms.
    - Article 19(3): Empowers the State to impose reasonable restrictions on this right in the interests of the sovereignty and integrity of India or public order.
- Key Judicial Precedents on Protest & Freedom of Assembly:
    - ***Himat Lal K. Shah v. Commissioner of Police (1973)***: The Supreme Court held that the right to assemble is a fundamental right that the State may regulate to maintain public order, but cannot arbitrarily refuse permission for peaceful assembly.
    - ***Ramlila Maidan Incident (2012)***: The Supreme Court affirmed that arbitrary executive action cannot extinguish the fundamental right to peaceful protest.
    - ***Ram Manohar Lohia v. State of Bihar (1966)***: Established the "concentric circles test" differentiating between *"law and order"*, *"public order"*, and *"security of the State"*. The Court ruled that an ordinary breach of law and order does not automatically endanger public order unless it reaches an aggravated threshold.
    - ***Ram Manohar Lohia (1960)***: Struck down detention orders resulting from a simple call to cease paying irrigation rates, holding that routine protest does not constitute a public order crisis.
    - ***ADM Jabalpur v. Shivkant Shukla (1976)***: Famous for Justice H.R. Khanna's landmark dissent rejecting the notion that personal liberty rests merely on executive grace.
    - ***Arnab Goswami v. State of Maharashtra (2020)***: Reaffirmed that even a single day's arbitrary deprivation of personal liberty is grave and unacceptable.
- Comparative Constitutional Jurisprudence:

- United States (*Brandenburg v. Ohio, 1969*): Speech or assembly advocating unlawful acts is punishable under the First Amendment only when directed to inciting, and likely to produce, imminent lawless action.
- Germany (*Brokdorf Decision, 1985*): Article 8 of the German Basic Law protects peaceful assembly. The Constitutional Court held demonstrations to be integral to a functional democracy, stipulating that a total ban on assembly can only be invoked as a last resort.

- Preventive Detention Framework & Constitutional Safeguards:

  - Origin: Originated from Draft Article 15A during Constituent Assembly debates. Dr. B.R. Ambedkar advocated for its inclusion due to post-Partition lawlessness, balancing it with constitutional safeguards: mandatory communication of grounds and Advisory Board approval for detention exceeding three months.
  - Article 22 Structure:
    - Article 22(3): Excludes preventive detainees from standard procedural safeguards, such as production before a magistrate within 24 hours under Articles 22(1) and 22(2).
    - Article 22(7): Authorizes Parliament to enact laws permitting detention beyond three months without requiring an Advisory Board's opinion.
  - 44th Constitutional Amendment Act (1978): Enacted to bolster procedural protections for detainees, though critical provisions remain unnotified by the Union government.
  - Statutory Instruments: Central enactments include the National Security Act (NSA), 1980 for preventive detention and Section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 (formerly Section 144 CrPC) for issuing prohibitory orders.

---

2. Electoral Reforms, Electoral Roll Revisions, and the Representation of the People Act (RPA)

- Statutory & Constitutional Foundations:
    - Article 324: Grants the Election Commission of India (ECI) overall superintendence, direction, and control over the preparation of electoral rolls.
    - Section 21 of the Representation of the People Act (RPA), 1950: Provides the legal basis for conducting a Special Intensive Revision (SIR) of electoral rolls for recorded reasons.
    - Article 325: Mandates that no person shall be ineligible for inclusion in an electoral roll or excluded from it on grounds only of religion, race, caste, or sex.
- Special Intensive Revision (SIR) vs. Summary Revision:
    - Unlike routine summary revisions, an SIR involves a comprehensive, time-bound, house-to-house physical verification of every elector entry carried out by Booth Level Officers (BLOs).
- Automated Data Processing & "Logical Discrepancies":
    - ERONET Platform: The ECI's centralized software generates “logical discrepancy” flags when voter details appear statistically implausible.
    - Algorithmic Criteria: Parameters for flag generation include family size metrics (e.g., 6 or more children linked to one parent), parent-child age gaps above 50 years or below 15 years, grandparent age gaps below 40 years, and sibling age gaps under 9 months.
    - Institutional Challenge: While Electoral Registration Officers (EROs) are the statutory custodians of electoral rolls under the law, automated checks conducted via central software without formally published criteria risk sidelining statutory authority and triggering disproportionate demographic flags.
    - NFHS Fertility Trends: National Family Health Survey data demonstrates a systemic decline in Total Fertility Rates (TFR) across demographic segments (Muslim women TFR dropped from 4.41 to 2.36; Hindu women TFR dropped from 3.3 to 1.94).

- Major Debates in Electoral Reforms:
    - Simultaneous Elections ("One Nation, One Election"): Proposed to streamline governance and curb massive electioneering expenditures, though concerns exist regarding potential impacts on frequent executive accountability to the electorate.
    - EVM Trustworthiness & ECI Proposals: Structural proposals submitted by the ECI to enhance overall democratic credibility, voter roll transparency, and machine trustworthiness.

---

3. Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR) and Free Legal Aid

- Mechanisms for Alternative Dispute Resolution:
    - Lok Adalats & Arbitration Tribunals: Statutory mechanisms aimed at unclogging conventional judicial dockets by facilitating out-of-court settlements in civil and non-compoundable criminal matters.
    - Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996: Provides the primary legislative framework governing domestic arbitration, international commercial arbitration, and conciliation enforcement in India.
- Free Legal Aid Infrastructure:
    - Article 39A (DPSP): Directs the State to provide free legal aid to ensure that opportunities for securing justice are not denied to any citizen by reason of economic or other disabilities.
    - National Legal Services Authority (NALSA): Statutory body constituted under the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 to monitor and evaluate legal aid programs across India.

OCTOBER 2026

CALL/WHATSAPP - 8999069628

15 UPSC-Style Practice MCQs

Q1. Consider the following statements regarding the Fundamental Right to Freedom of Assembly in India:

1. Article 19(1)(b) guarantees citizens the right to assemble peaceably and without arms.
2. In *Himat Lal K. Shah (1973)*, the Supreme Court ruled that the executive possesses absolute discretion to refuse permission for public assembly.
3. Reasonable restrictions on assembly under Article 19(3) can be imposed in the interest of public order.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (a) 1 and 2 only
(b) 1 and 3 only
(c) 3 only
(d) 1, 2, and 3

Q2. With reference to the distinction between "Law and Order" and "Public Order", consider the following statements:

1. The Supreme Court introduced the "concentric circles test" in the *Ram Manohar Lohia v. State of Bihar (1966)* case.
2. Every routine breach of law and order automatically qualifies as a breach of public order.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (a) 1 only
(b) 2 only
(c) Both 1 and 2
(d) Neither 1 nor 2

Q3. In international human rights jurisprudence, consider the following pairs:

1. *Brandenburg v. Ohio* — Imminent lawless action test (United States)
2. *Brokdorf Decision* — Proportionality principle & ban as a last resort (Germany)

Which of the pairs given above is/are correctly matched? (a) 1 only
(b) 2 only
(c) Both 1 and 2
(d) Neither 1 nor 2

Q4. Consider the following statements regarding Preventive Detention under Article 22 of the Constitution of India:

1. Draft Article 15A served as the foundational precursor to Article 22 during Constituent Assembly debates.
2. Article 22(3) extends the procedural protection of production before a magistrate within 24 hours to preventive detainees.
3. Under Article 22(7), Parliament may specify circumstances under which detention can extend beyond three months without Advisory Board confirmation.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (a) 1 and 2 only
(b) 1 and 3 only
(c) 2 and 3 only
(d) 1, 2, and 3

Q5. Regarding preventive detention safeguards in India, consider the following statements:

1. The 44th Constitutional Amendment Act (1978) introduced enhanced safeguards for preventive detainees that still await full notification.
2. The National Security Act (NSA), 1980 allows preventive detention for maintaining public order and security of the State.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (a) 1 only
(b) 2 only
(c) Both 1 and 2
(d) Neither 1 nor 2

Q6. Consider the following statements regarding the legal framework governing Indian elections:

1. Article 324 grants superintendence and direction of electoral rolls to the Election Commission of India.
2. Section 21 of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 provides statutory authorization for conducting Special Revisions of electoral rolls.
3. Article 325 permits the exclusion of electors from electoral rolls based on religious criteria during intensive revisions.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (a) 1 and 2 only
(b) 2 and 3 only
(c) 1 and 3 only
(d) 1, 2, and 3

Q7. What primarily distinguishes a Special Intensive Revision (SIR) from a routine Summary Revision of electoral rolls?

(a) SIR is conducted exclusively by central police forces without involving state officers.
(b) SIR involves a comprehensive, time-bound, house-to-house physical verification of voter entries by Booth Level Officers.
(c) SIR can only be mandated by a writ issued by the Supreme Court of India under Article 32.
(d) SIR automatically disqualifies all electors whose births were not registered in hospitals.

Q8. With reference to automated systems in electoral roll management, consider the following statements:

1. ERONET is the central digital platform used by the ECI for electoral registration operations.
2. Electoral Registration Officers (EROs) serve as the statutory custodians of electoral rolls under the law.
3. Logical discrepancy flags can be generated based on algorithmic parameters like family size and parent-child age gaps.

How many of the statements given above are correct? (a) Only one
(b) Only two
(c) All three
(d) None

Q9. According to National Family Health Survey (NFHS) data cited in demographic and electoral analyses, what is the trend regarding Total Fertility Rates (TFR) in India?

(a) TFR has rapidly increased across all religious communities.
(b) TFR for Muslim women declined from 4.41 to 2.36, while for Hindu women it declined from 3.3 to 1.94.
(c) TFR values have remained entirely unchanged since 1992.
(d) NFHS does not measure fertility metrics.

Q10. Consider the following statements regarding the "One Nation, One Election" proposal:

1. It aims to reduce administrative expenditure and time spent in frequent electioneering.
2. Opponents argue that simultaneous elections may reduce the executive's frequent accountability to the electorate.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (a) 1 only
(b) 2 only
(c) Both 1 and 2
(d) Neither 1 nor 2

Q11. Match the following landmark cases with their constitutional rulings:

1. *ADM Jabalpur (1976)* — Justice H.R. Khanna's dissent upholding personal liberty against executive grace.
2. *Arnab Goswami (2020)* — Even a single day's arbitrary loss of liberty is grave.
3. *Ramlila Maidan (2012)* — Executive order cannot extinguish the fundamental right to peaceful protest.

How many of the pairs given above are correctly matched? (a) Only one
(b) Only two
(c) All three
(d) None

Q12. With reference to Free Legal Aid in India, consider the following statements:

1. Article 39A of the Directive Principles of State Policy mandates free legal aid to ensure equal access to justice.
2. The National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) was established to implement and monitor legal aid schemes under statutory authority.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (a) 1 only
(b) 2 only
(c) Both 1 and 2
(d) Neither 1 nor 2

Q13. Which statutory Act serves as the primary legislation governing domestic arbitration and conciliation mechanisms in India?

(a) Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987
(b) Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996
(c) Code of Civil Procedure, 1908
(d) Specific Relief Act, 1963

Q14. Prohibitory orders restricting public gatherings to prevent breach of public peace are issued under which section of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023?

(a) Section 144
(b) Section 163
(c) Section 21
(d) Section 324

Q15. During Constituent Assembly debates on Draft Article 15A (now Article 22), who advocated for preventive detention as a necessary mechanism for state stability during Partition-era violence?

(a) H.V. Kamath
(b) Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava
(c) Dr. B.R. Ambedkar
(d) Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Answer Key and Detailed Explanations

1. (b) 1 and 3 only
   Explanation: Statement 1 is correct (Article 19(1)(b)). Statement 2 is incorrect because in *Himat Lal K. Shah (1973)*, the Supreme Court held that the right to assemble is fundamental and the State cannot *arbitrarily refuse* permission, though it can regulate it. Statement 3 is correct (Article 19(3) permits reasonable restrictions for public order).

2. (a) 1 only
   Explanation: Statement 1 is correct (*Ram Manohar Lohia v. State of Bihar, 1966*). Statement 2 is incorrect because the Court explicitly clarified that only an aggravated breach of law and order impacts "public order".

3. (c) Both 1 and 2
   Explanation: Both pairs are correctly matched (*Brandenburg v. Ohio* established the imminent lawless action test in US law; *Brokdorf decision* in Germany

established proportionality and ban as a last resort).

4. (b) 1 and 3 only
   Explanation: Statement 1 is correct (Draft Article 15A). Statement 2 is incorrect because Article 22(3) explicitly *excludes* preventive detainees from standard arrest safeguards like the 24-hour magistrate production rule. Statement 3 is correct (Article 22(7) allows parliamentary law to authorize detention beyond 3 months without Advisory Board opinion).

5. (c) Both 1 and 2
   Explanation: Statement 1 is correct (44th Amendment Act, 1978 introduced safeguards that remain unnotified). Statement 2 is correct (NSA, 1980 is a central preventive detention law).

6. (a) 1 and 2 only
   Explanation: Statements 1 and 2 are correct (Article 324 and Sec 21 RPA 1950). Statement 3 is incorrect because Article 325 explicitly *prohibits* exclusion from electoral rolls on grounds only of religion, race, caste, or sex.

7. (b) SIR involves a comprehensive, time-bound, house-to-house physical verification of voter entries by Booth Level Officers.
   Explanation: This physical house-to-house verification by BLOs distinguishes SIR from routine summary revisions.

8. (c) All three
   Explanation: Statement 1 is correct (ERONET is ECI's software platform). Statement 2 is correct (EROs are statutory custodians of rolls). Statement 3 is correct (Logical discrepancy flags check family size and age gaps).

9. (b) TFR for Muslim women declined from 4.41 to 2.36, while for Hindu women it declined from 3.3 to 1.94.
   Explanation: NFHS data shows a steady decline in TFR across both communities over recent decades.

10. (c) Both 1 and 2
    Explanation: Both statements represent the key arguments for and against

simultaneous elections ("One Nation, One Election").

11. (c) All three
Explanation: All three pairs accurately represent landmark constitutional rulings on personal liberty and fundamental rights.

12. (c) Both 1 and 2
Explanation: Article 39A mandates free legal aid, and NALSA was constituted under the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 to implement legal aid schemes.

13. (b) Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996
Explanation: The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 is the primary statute for arbitration and conciliation in India.

14. (b) Section 163
Explanation: Section 163 of the BNSS, 2023 replaces Section 144 of the former Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC) for issuing prohibitory orders.

15. (c) Dr. B.R. Ambedkar
Explanation: Dr. B.R. Ambedkar justified preventive detention under Draft Article 15A as a necessary instrument given Partition violence, while introducing constitutional safeguards.